

George Dyson  
Town Clerk

☎ (01273) 585493  
✉ TownClerk@peacehaventowncouncil.gov.uk



Community House,  
Meridian Way,  
Peacehaven,  
East Sussex,  
BN10 8BB.

Minutes of the Planning & Highways Committee meeting held in the Anzac Room, Community House on Tuesday 26<sup>th</sup> August 2025 at 6.15pm

Present: Cllr Gordon-Garrett (Committee Chair), Cllr Campbell (Committee Vice-Chair), Cllr Davies, Cllr Wood, Cllr Sharkey, Cllr Rosser

Officers: Zoe Polydorou (Meetings & Projects Officer), Vicky Onis (Committees & Assistant Project Officer)

Public: 2 members of the public were in attendance.

## GENERAL BUSINESS

### 1. PH2384 CHAIR ANNOUNCEMENTS

The Chair read out the Civility and respect statement, ran through the fire procedure, asked for mobile phones to be switched off or put on silent, and reminded all that the meeting was being recorded for internal use only, and of the public questions protocol.

### 2. PH2385 PUBLIC QUESTIONS - *There will be a 15-minute period whereby members of the public may ask questions on any relevant Planning & Highways matter.*

There were 2 public questioners.

Both questioners spoke in relation to Item PH2389 LW/25/0202 98 South Coast Road Peacehaven.

The first questioner expressed they were a neighbour of the proposed development, described the location and general housing type as being made up of bungalows, raised density as a concern with regards to the requested increase in car parking spaces, explained they had been involved in a petition against the development, expressed concern of an increase of pollution in the area, and raised that was already an issue with parking on the road and there was no room for additional cars.

The second questioner queried the status of the development, whereby the Chair explained the process. The questioner also expressed concern with the overdevelopment of Peacehaven and the increased pressure on local services and infrastructure. They requested that committee object to the application in terms of an increase in population, that the building would not be in keeping with the area, and would lead to an increase of cars on the South Coast Road.

The Chair thanked the public for their comments.

The second questioner further raised concern around various issues surrounding the current non-progress of the Meridian development.

### 3. PH2386 TO CONSIDER APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE & SUBSTITUTIONS

There were no apologies for absence.

### 4. PH2387 TO RECEIVE DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS

There were no declarations of interest.

### 5. PH2388 TO ADOPT THE MINUTES FROM THE 29<sup>TH</sup> JULY 2025

Proposed by: Cllr Rosser      Seconded by: Cllr Sharkey  
All in **favour**.

## 6. TO COMMENT on the following Planning applications

**PH2389 LW/25/0202** 98 South Coast Road Peacehaven

[https://padocs.lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk/planning/planning-documents?ref\\_no=LW/25/0202](https://padocs.lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk/planning/planning-documents?ref_no=LW/25/0202)

It was proposed to strongly object to the application, along with strongly reiterating committee's previous objection, on the following grounds:-

1. Gross over development of the site including in terms of the parking arrangements
2. Difficulties around waste management
3. The flood risk from the SuDS,
4. Loss of green space and the build not taking biodiversity into account

If LDC does grant permission, PTC request that LDC applies a condition that there should be swift boxes, insect stations, butterfly stations and such like to cover a broad range of biodiversity.

Proposed by: Cllr Campbell      Seconded by: Cllr Wood  
All in **favour** to **object**.

*18:54 – 2 members of the public left the meeting*

**PH2390 LW/25/0461** 180 South Coast Road Peacehaven

<https://planningpa.lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk/online-applications/applicationDetails.do?keyVal=T05L0GJDKBE00&activeTab=summary>

It was proposed to not comment on the application

Proposed by: Cllr Campbell      Seconded by: Cllr Davies  
All in **favour** to **not comment**.

**PH2391 LW/25/0341** 42 Bramber Avenue Peacehaven

[https://padocs.lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk/planning/planning-documents?ref\\_no=LW/25/0341](https://padocs.lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk/planning/planning-documents?ref_no=LW/25/0341)

It was proposed to support the application, subject to the officers being satisfied that objections from 45a Dorothy Avenue are taken into consideration.

Proposed by: Cllr Campbell      Seconded by: Cllr Sharkey  
All in **favour** to **support**.

*19:02 – Cllr Davies left the meeting*

## 7. TO NOTE the following Planning applications/decisions

**PH2392 LW/25/0396**

[https://padocs.lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk/planning/planning-documents?ref\\_no=LW/25/0396](https://padocs.lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk/planning/planning-documents?ref_no=LW/25/0396)

The planning decision was **noted**.

## 8. **PH2393 TO AGREE DATE FOR THE NEXT MEETING TUESDAY 16<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2025 AT 7.30 PM**

The next meeting was agreed.

*There being no further business, the meeting was closed at 19:04*

## Detailed Income &amp; Expenditure by Budget Heading 09/09/2025

Month No: 5

## Cost Centre Report

	Actual Year To Date	Current Annual Bud	Variance Annual Total	Committed Expenditure	Funds Available	% Spent	Transfer to/from EMR
<u>200 Planning &amp; Highways</u>							
1022 Planter Advertising	133	1,100	967			12.1%	
1051 A1 Boards	0	1,100	1,100			0.0%	
Planning & Highways :- Income	<u>133</u>	<u>2,200</u>	<u>2,067</u>			<u>6.1%</u>	<u>0</u>
4851 Noticeboards	0	650	650		650	0.0%	
4852 Monument & War Memorial	297	600	303		303	49.5%	260
4853 Street Furniture	0	600	600		600	0.0%	
4854 Maps / Right of Way	0	500	500		500	0.0%	
Planning & Highways :- Direct Expenditure	<u>297</u>	<u>2,350</u>	<u>2,053</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>2,053</u>	<u>12.6%</u>	<u>260</u>
4101 Repair/Alteration of Premises	0	2,500	2,500		2,500	0.0%	
4111 Electricity	145	2,500	2,355		2,355	5.8%	
4171 Grounds Maintenance Costs	347	500	153		153	69.5%	
4850 Grass Cutting Contract	16,178	16,178	(0)		(0)	100.0%	
Planning & Highways :- Indirect Expenditure	<u>16,670</u>	<u>21,678</u>	<u>5,008</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>5,008</u>	<u>76.9%</u>	<u>0</u>
Net Income over Expenditure	<u>(16,834)</u>	<u>(21,828)</u>	<u>(4,994)</u>				
6000 plus Transfer from EMR	260	0	(260)				
Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	<u>(16,574)</u>	<u>(21,828)</u>	<u>(5,254)</u>				
Grand Totals:- Income	133	2,200	2,067			6.1%	
Expenditure	16,967	24,028	7,061	0	7,061	70.6%	
Net Income over Expenditure	<u>(16,834)</u>	<u>(21,828)</u>	<u>(4,994)</u>				
plus Transfer from EMR	260	0	(260)				
Movement to/(from) Gen Reserve	<u>(16,574)</u>	<u>(21,828)</u>	<u>(5,254)</u>				





George Dyson  
Town Clerk

☎ (01273) 585493  
✉ TownClerk@peacehaventowncouncil.gov.uk



Community House,  
Meridian Way,  
Peacehaven,  
East Sussex,  
BN10 8BB.

<b>Committee:</b>	Planning	<b>Agenda Item:</b>	PH2401
<b>Meeting date:</b>	September 16 2025	<b>Authors:</b>	Vice Chair
<b>Subject:</b>	Sustrans and cycle/wheeling paths		
<b>Purpose:</b>	To agree to set up a TFG		

**Recommendation(s):**

To agree to set up a TFG to progress the policy on cycle and wheeling paths

**1. Background**

The improvement of cycle and wheeling paths have been under discussion for many years. Most proposals involved the Meridian Centre area of Peacehaven. With the expectation of the Morrisons development, it seemed sensible to hold discussions of progress on these issues in abeyance. However, some issues have arisen that add urgency to this issue. On September 2, Council noted, without comment, a Report from the liaison councillor that Planning Committee should set up a TFG to restart progress on cycle and wheeling routes.

**2. Options for Council**

To agree the proposal

Not to agree the proposal

**3. Reason for recommendation**

To move forward with cycle and wheeling routes

**4. Expected benefits**

Moving forward with cycle and wheeling routes

## 5. Implications

5.1 Legal	None at present
5.2 Risks	
5.3 Financial	
5.4 Time scales	
5.5 Stakeholders & Social Value	Cyclists and wheelers, younger people
5.6 Contracts	
5.7 Climate & Sustainability	
5.8 Crime & Disorder	
5.9 Health & Safety	
5.10 Biodiversity	
5.11 Privacy Impact	
5.12 Equality & Diversity	

## 6. Values & priorities alignment

Which of the Core Values does the recommendation demonstrate?	
6.1 Empowering and supporting the community	X
6.2 Growing the economy sustainably	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.3 Helping children and young people	X
6.4 Improving the quality of life for residents and visitors to Peacehaven	X
6.5 Supporting residents in need	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.6 Valuing the environment	X
6.7 Which business plan item(s) does the recommendation relate to?	

## 7. Appendices

APPENDIX A - Sustran Outside Body Representatives Report, under item C1433 at Full Council 2nd September 2025



# Outside Body Contact Report

Outside body	SUSTRANS.		
Councillor representative	Cllr Mary Campbell		
Type of contact	Face-to-face	Date of contact	01/07/2025
Report to Council	<p>Contact also by email. After enquiry to the National Office of SUSTRANS ('Sustainable Transport', a walking, wheeling and cycling charity that is the 'custodian' of the National Cycle Network), I was given the name of their local contact. After a long meeting, he forwarded to me much information about cycle route issues in and around Peacehaven including past exchanges with PTC councillors. These appear to have ended in 2022. Plans for the development of cycle networks in Newhaven are proceeding apace. These include the Egrets Way route between Lewes Town and Newhaven (a short passage on the C7 is currently incomplete), the North-South NCN links with the French National Cycle Route on the French side of the Channel (via Newhaven ferry) and a cycle route at the Newhaven end of Peacehaven Heights (the caravan site). SUSTRANS would like the National Cycle Route to be a key part of the discussion about routes in Peacehaven and Arundel Road remains the prime candidate for the east west route. However, a route through the Big Park is currently viewed as a useful parallel addition. A key here is the short stretch within the grounds of Peacehaven Community School (PCS): the contract with EMCOR has been a barrier to progress here – but this contract apparently ends on 31/07/2026. [Note that separate plans for an intra-Peacehaven/Telscombe Cliffs 'loop' cycle route eg for children to use cycles to get to and from schools is part of ESCC cycle planning]</p>		
Follow up/ Action points	<p>Action on cycle routes should be put into the Planning Committee's section of PTC Business Plan. Planning Committee should establish a TFG with senior officer involvement to progress these issues. The Council Officer should take ownership of the data that has been gathered. Planning Committee should prepare a Report for Council in 2026 on all aspects of the SUSTRANS and other related ideas and proposals. Contact needs to be urgently re-established with PCS (both locally and at Academy level) and with ESCC to try to arrange for the relevant stretch of (currently unused) land to be allocated for a cycle route as soon as the EMCOR contract ends. This is a case for PTC and Telscombe Town Council working together and LDC Cabinet Member for Planning should be invited to join the TFG if he can spare the time (Cllr O'Connor – as a Telscombe councillor he was involved in the earlier discussions and the LCN and proposed 'loops' also go through Telscombe)</p>		







<b>Committee:</b>	Planning and Highways	<b>Agenda Item:</b>	PH2402
<b>Meeting date:</b>	September 16 2025	<b>Author:</b>	Vice Chair of Committee
<b>Subject:</b>	Request to ESCC for more double yellow no-parking lines		
<b>Purpose:</b>	To facilitate vehicular access and/or improve road safety		

**Recommendation(s):**

To agree

## 1. Background

Traffic in Peacehaven is increasing as is parking. There are positions on roads where parking can threaten road safety, including for pedestrians, and/or where parking has been causing obstruction. The following specific positions fall into this category. We request that ESCC Highways examine these sites and instal double yellow lines as shown on the map as appropriate.

1. Junction at 29 Lincoln Avenue with east-west spur linking to Cairo Avenue. A van was parked for some months at this junction, making it difficult for vehicles to turn from Lincoln Avenue (narrow at this point) into the spur – the access to Cairo Avenue from the A259 and therefore important for emergency vehicles. We request double yellow lines to be installed for, say, three metres in each direction (maybe 7 metres in total round each corner) and for, say, five metres on the west side of Lincoln Avenue opposite the entrance/exit of the spur link road.

2. Now that Chalkers Rise development is all but complete, the access point from Pelham Rise can be congested. The problem is exacerbated by the access point being very close to a corner and on a bus route with bus stops nearby. Several residents of Chalkers Rise have raised this issue. Cars parked on Pelham Avenue (either side) can obscure the view for those exiting from Chalkers Rise Estate. We request that double yellow lines are installed on both sides of Pelham Rise between the Glynn Road junction and The Bricky/ Collingwood Close bus stop.

3. Access for large vehicles to/from The Promenade where the Promenade is narrow. This problem particularly applies at the junctions of the Promenade with Hodder Avenue and Lincoln Avenue. Even refuse lorries have difficulty and bigger vehicles have to make several 'gos' backwards and forwards to make the turn if a vehicle is parked close to the junction – which also means heavy weights close to the cliff edge. We request that double yellow lines are installed on both sides of Hodder Avenue and Lincoln Avenue for, say, five metres northwards from the Promenade on both sides of the north-south roads.

## 2. Options for Council

To agree some or all of the recommendations

Not to agree some or all of the recommendations

### 3. Reason for recommendation

To improve road safety and vehicular access. To protect the clifftop from heavy loading (recommendation 3)

### 4. Expected benefits

Better road safety and access. Less stress on the clifftop (recommendation 3)

#### Implications

5.1 Legal	
5.2 Risks	The risks are of not in stalling the double yellow lines
5.3 Financial	
5.4 Time scales	Urgent for recommendation 2
5.5 Stakeholders & Social Value	Easier vehicular egress for cars from Chalkers Rise (450 homes)
5.6 Contracts	
5.7 Climate & Sustainability	Need to protect clifftop
5.8 Crime & Disorder	
5.9 Health & Safety	Safer roads
5.10 Biodiversity	
5.11 Privacy Impact	
5.12 Equality & Diversity	

### 5. Values & priorities alignment

Which of the Core Values does the recommendation demonstrate?	
6.1 Empowering and supporting the community	X
6.2 Growing the economy sustainably	X
6.3 Helping children and young people	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.4 Improving the quality of life for residents and visitors to Peacehaven	X
6.5 Supporting residents in need	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.6 Valuing the environment	x

#### 6.7 Which business plan item(s) does the recommendation relate to?

This relates to PTC's role a advocate for residents

### 6. Appendices

MAP TO BE ADDED



<b>Committee:</b>	Planning and Highways	<b>Agenda Item:</b>	PH2403
<b>Meeting date:</b>	September 16 2025	<b>Author:</b>	Cllr Mary Campbell
<b>Subject:</b>	Chalkers Rise Estate		
<b>Purpose:</b>	To update Committee		

**Recommendation(s):**

To note

## 1. Background

The development of the 450-dwelling Chalkers Rise (CR) estate is essentially complete. The developer will be withdrawing from responsibility and the roads will be 'adopted' by East Sussex County Council (ESCC) Highways. As councillor for Central Ward, I have been knocking on doors on the estate from time to time and have also received information about residents' views via meetings of the Peacehaven Residents' Association. Peacehaven Town Council (PTC) has no direct responsibility for the site at present, although it has been suggested that part of the site that may 'revert' to Lewes District Council (LDC) might be incorporated into Centenary Park. However, PTC has much involvement, particularly because of its current and future advocacy role for residents. This Report seeks to summarise issues of concern that may need PTC's attention and suggest some possible actions as bullet points) for consideration (in addition to continued monitoring).

### 1. Road Safety and access outside the estate

(a) *Junctions with Southview Road, Bee Road and Firle Road:* barriers have now been installed. However, residents of Southview Road report continued nuisance from delivery drivers etc. The Bolney Avenue emergency vehicle access to the south of the CR estate is now available to delivery cycles if they are coming from A259. Also, it seems that some drivers do not realise there is no through road to CR from Roderick Avenue via Seaview Road. Possible action in addition to continued monitoring:

- PTC could request that ESCC place a sign at the Southview Road junction with Roderick Avenue stating: 'No through road to Chalkers Rise'.

(b) *Pelham Rise access/exit point:* an issue for two main reasons. First, the ever-increasing traffic round the south-eastern corner of Pelham Rise, exacerbated by the (welcome) increase in number of buses, has created risks that did not exist before the estate existed: risks that have increased as the number of residents using that exit has multiplied. Individual(s) have in the past asked ESCC for double yellow lines to be placed on Pelham Rise to improve visibility and widen the effective size of Pelham Rise at this point so that two cars/buses are not impeded from passing each other at or around the junction with CR. Second, the increasing numbers of pedestrians needing to cross Pelham Rise, particularly families with children needing to reach the local primary school (Meridian School), has led to calls for a formal pedestrian crossing at the corner of Pelham Rise despite the existence of the 'Spine Path' further west – only a slightly longer underpass route to/from the school. Visibility for pedestrians at the corner is



further hampered by a grassy hump on the Collingwood Court housing estate obscuring the view south-westwards from the dropped curb crossing point. Possible actions in addition to continued monitoring:

- Installation of double yellow lines between Glynn Road and The Bricky/Collingwood Court bus stop;
- Public relations activity, jointly with the school, to encourage families and children to use the underpass (better lighting and signage perhaps?) plus information about the cost of new pedestrian crossings;
- Consideration of the best position for a new pedestrian crossing and prioritisation within the overall framework of need for new pedestrian crossings throughout the whole of Peacehaven (some CR residents have argued that, because PTC's CIL money is due to the CR development, PTC's CIL money should be spent on their proposed new pedestrian crossing); (iv
- Follow-up the LDC (Cllr O'Connor) request to the Collingwood Court housing estate managers to lower the height of the 'mound'.

**2. Road Safety and access within CR estate:** there seem to be four issues here which ESCC Highways (and the police) should take on board (and act on as part of the formal adoption of the CR Estate roads?). First, there is a problem with people parking on the pavements (see attached photo in Appendix) which means that even on the main CR spine road of Skylark Avenue families with children and pushchairs/wheelchairs etc are forced onto the road, putting them at risk of being run over. Residents have commented that some of the roads inside the estate are too narrow for the number of vehicles using them (widths vary a lot). Second, there is an issue of speeding, especially down Skylark Avenue. Given that parking on pavements is not illegal, speeding has big safety concerns for pushchairs/wheelchairs forced onto the road. Third, there are junctions within the CR estate where views are impeded. Possible actions in addition to continued monitoring:

- Ask the police to take action on cars parked on pavements;
- Ask ESCC to apply a 20mph speed limit throughout the estate as part of the adoption process (some residents told me it should be 10mph);
- Ask ESCC to apply double yellow lines at some junctions as part of the adoption process – including the following junctions with Skylark Avenue – Martletts Close, Goldfinch Avenue, Pipit Way, Robin Lane, Blackbird Place, Linnet Crescent (where a high hedge also limits vision) (see photo of one such junction in Appendix);
- Ask ESCC to consider applying double yellow lines on both sides for the full length of Skylark Avenue as part of the adoption process.

**3. The infiltration pond and surrounding land:** it is understood that legal and financial responsibility for the infiltration pond will be vested in the residents of the estate and its management agents (ie not PTC responsibility), but that the land to the north of the pond may become part of Centenary Park. The infiltration pond has now been fully fenced, although there are as yet no 'danger' signs or life-saving equipment installed. But the remaining issues of the steps up and down into the 'moat', the flow of any storm water surges from the 'moat' and the finalisation of the landscaping are still outstanding. The 'moat' has recently been blocked as it passes the north-east edge of the infiltration pond fence, with the result that it may create another pond in instances of prolonged heavy rain (there was already puddle at that point when I visited after one night of spasmodic rain). Access to the 'moat' is not prevented by a fence. Possible action for PTC in addition to continued monitoring:

- Check out the legal situation, including the ***precise boundaries (eg inclusion of the 'moat' in PTC-owned land could create liabilities)***, and, once the proposed legal arrangements are clarified, take independent legal advice before agreeing liability, ensuring that 'danger' signs and life saving equipment has been installed;
- Apply for the land to be added to Centenary Park (if any) to be classified as protected green space for planning purposes – ideally as part of any transfer of land from the developer, perhaps ensuring that the



north-south concrete path that borders the CR estate on the east side becomes PTC property, and is registered as a Public Right of Way as part of the adoption of roads/routes by ESCC;

- Continue to press LDC and the developer on the issue of safety and access around the moat and steps area.

4. **'Snagging' issues:** Many of these have been tidied up (eg Pipit Way street lighting). LDC will no doubt be checking that all the conditions in the Planning Consent have been satisfied before signing off the development. Landscaping remains to be completed both on the east and west sides of the third phase site. The trees that have been planted look dead and may need replacing before any handover (or a 'dowry' added). In two places, kerbs are a trip hazard for pedestrians as they access/exit the CR Estate at the boundary with Centenary Park: one is at the southern junction of Pipit Way and the concrete north-south path; the other is next to the southern access to Bolney. Possible action for PTC:

- Alert LDC (including Cllr O'Connor) to remaining snagging issues as soon as possible and seek confirmation from LDC that the planning conditions promised to CR residents have been satisfied.

5. **Section 106 and CIL money accruing from CR development to ESCC, LDC and PTC:** the way that these huge sums have been or may be spent by PTC, LDC and ESCC remains obscure. Full transparency will be needed to Peacehaven residents, who are demanding it.

6. **The electoral districts:** these are confused and confusing. Central Ward does not exist for District Council election purposes (CR and the streets between the Meridian Centre and Firle Road are part of North Ward) and residents are understandably muddled about who their councillors are! Central Ward has only one town councillor – all other town council wards have several. Possible action for PTC: Develop proposals to change ward boundaries to make all Peacehaven Wards more equal in numbers of residents before the 2027 Town Council election. The boundaries for the proposed new Unitary Authority elections in 2028 also need to be considered – at present Telscombe Town and Peacehaven Town residents each have equal representation on ESCC even though Peacehaven has about twice the population of Telscombe (15k-16k compared with 7k-8k). (In practice, at present, Telscombe County Councillor Christine Robinson does a lot of work in Peacehaven too But this would not continue if different political parties won Unitary Authority elections in each town.)

## Options for Council

To note, and consider possible actions listed

## 2. Reason for recommendation

To try to bring together in one document a summary of the data and issues concerning Chalkers Rise Estate as it moves out of the developer's control and responsibility.

## 3. Expected benefits

Transparency, improved quality of life for residents, ease of reference for Council officers in PTC, LDC and ESCC.

## 4. Implications

5.1 Legal	PTC liability if/when handovers occur
5.2 Risks	Road and other safety issues, traffic blockages, democratic deficit
5.3 Financial	PTC Financial liability in future if handover arrangements not satisfactory
5.4 Time scales	one/two months?
5.5 Stakeholders & Social Value	Residents in and around Chalkers Rise
5.6 Contracts	See Legal above
5.7 Climate & Sustainability	Areas of potential for biodiversity and nature recovery, vehicle emissions
5.8 Crime & Disorder	



5.9 Health & Safety	See above; also safety of children if 'moat' floods
5.10 Biodiversity	See above
5.11 Privacy Impact	
5.12 Equality & Diversity	Lack of access at steps into 'moat'

## 5. Values & priorities alignment

Which of the Core Values does the recommendation demonstrate?	
6.1 Empowering and supporting the community	X
6.2 Growing the economy sustainably	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.3 Helping children and young people	X
6.4 Improving the quality of life for residents and visitors to Peacehaven	X
6.5 Supporting residents in need	<input type="checkbox"/>
6.6 Valuing the environment	X
<b>6.7 Which business plan item(s) does the recommendation relate to?</b> This Report mainly concerns PTC's role as advocate for residents	

## 6. Appendix





<b>Committee:</b>	Planning and Highways	<b>Agenda Item:</b>	PH2404
<b>Meeting date:</b>	16 September 2025	<b>Author:</b>	Meetings & Projects Officer
<b>Subject:</b>	Pedestrian Crossings and Islands in Peacehaven		
<b>Purpose:</b>	To update Committee		

**Recommendation(s):**

To agree to a public consultation on the pedestrian crossings and islands proposals

## 1. Background

Further to a resolution at P&H committee meeting on 17<sup>th</sup> June 2025, item PH2326, two maps that survey road crossings and islands in Peacehaven, both those that exist and options that have been identified for possible future proposal, were shared with all Councillors for comment, including on prioritisation.

It was explained that the aim was that a further report would be presented to the P&H committee on 16<sup>th</sup> September, possibly leading to a public consultation.

Responses were requested to be with me by the latest Monday 18<sup>th</sup> August 2025; none were received.

## 2. Options for Council

To agree whether the road crossings and islands in Peacehaven proposals form a public consultation

To progress another way

To do nothing

## 3. Reason for recommendation

A public consultation will provide an opportunity for residents to have their say on the proposals, which in turn can help with persuading ESCC

## 4. Expected benefits

To go towards improving road safety

## 5. Implications

5.1 Legal	None
5.2 Risks	Not moving this forward risks stagnating the current
5.3 Financial	Officer time and marketing costs
5.4 Time scales	Consultation produced and made live, with results analysed by the first half of 2026
5.5 Stakeholders & Social Value	Public involvement



5.6 Contracts	none
5.7 Climate & Sustainability	none
5.8 Crime & Disorder	None
5.9 Health & Safety	Help improve road safety
5.10 Biodiversity	None
5.11 Privacy Impact	Adhere to the data protection policy
5.12 Equality & Diversity	Consultation will be accessible to all

## 6. Values & priorities alignment

Which of the Core Values does the recommendation demonstrate?	
6.1 Empowering and supporting the community	X
6.2 Growing the economy sustainably	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.3 Helping children and young people	X
6.4 Improving the quality of life for residents and visitors to Peacehaven	X
6.5 Supporting residents in need	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
6.6 Valuing the environment	X

<b>6.7 Which business plan item(s) does the recommendation relate to?</b> Road Safety: crossings & Islands
---

## 7. Appendix

None



Date Received	Method of contact	Area	Category	Details of Complaint	Actions taken	Current Status	Days taken to close
30/07/2025	In Person	Non PTC land	Road conditions	Speed bumps missing bolts in roderick ave. was reported by member of public but was not located by escc so case closed.	VO contacted ESCC directly questioning this and investigation taken place and work will be carried out. Further update from ESCC 5/9/25 As this matter falls outside the scope of our reactive team's responsibilities, we follow a clear process for getting the repairs done. This includes gathering and reviewing quotations, carrying out internal checks, and reviewing other options, before we're able to instruct the work to go ahead, if everything is agreed. This process typically takes a minimum of seven weeks. The start of the work is then dependent on resource and network availability	Referred to ESCC	1
20/08/2025	Email	Non PTC land	Misc/Other	complaint from chalkers rise resident re parking on corners and curbs.	have spoken to BDW Homes and confirmed that this is ESCC land so I have given over the escc link for yellow lines request and signage. Also parking enforcement number	Open	

Committee:	Planning & Highways
Start Date:	22/07/2025
End Date:	08/09/2025
Status:	All



Planning & Highways Committee - Action Plan				
CASE NUMBER	MEETING DATE	TASK	ACTION	PERSON RESPONSIBLE
				updated 29.4.2025
1	09/08/2022	Speed activated sign/ Speed Strip	For the Public Safety TFG to investigate about the speed activated sign, and report back to the PSH Committee.	Committees & Assistant Projects Officer
			<p>Next meeting date set for 16th September - Still no attendance from the schools. Schools have been sent information on Ellie Thornton foundation where grants of £500 are available to improve the safety of children entering and exiting schools. Road Safety Officer Steve O'Connell will be shortly visiting schools to discuss as no attendance at the public safety meetings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Need more volunteers to support speed checks, so that data can be collated for the purchase of a SID. Need volunteers and data in order to purchase a SID we need regular data to prove problem areas. PTC have advertised for volunteer's numerous times along with 2 speed watch presentations held by police traffic officer Steve O'Connell. Only 2/3 residents attended the sessions and didn't volunteer.</li> <li>Another option to speed along this process would be to purchase a speed strip which can be set up to record the speed of cars for a week 24/7. The approx. cost will be £500 - projects officer investigating</li> <li>operation downsway - drones will be used to combat anti social bikes and used across fields and areas</li> <li>Clir Gordon-Garrett has been out speedwatching when enough volunteers to support. not enough volunteers.</li> <li>28/04 The public safety Group are looking at a Black Cat device. This is a mobile device which can track amount of road users and the speeds. Funding can be sort from JAG or the Policing fund. Other funding is being researched.</li> </ul>	
2	26/02/2024	EV Chargers		10/3/24 1st phase of installations in LDC have taken place with a company called Connected Kerbs. Peachhaven is likely to be in the 2nd Phase possibly the Lewes District car parks. Roderick Ave North, Piddinghoe Ave and Steyning ave. The LDC Officers want to evaluated the installation to make sure all satisfactory before proceeding with Phase 2 22/10 Clir Sharkey updated committee on a meeting held with LDC about EV Chargers, who were looking at Steyning Avenue, and Piddinghoe Avenue as two possible locations
3	19/11/2024	Urban Verge Grass Cutting	Check that the grass cutting for 2025 would include all the localions that it should include.	Projects Officer
			Map sent by ESCC highlighting all urban verges for 2025 cuts which will now include verges between Blakeney Ave and Chene Road	

UPDATE

